1	
2	LITCHFIELD PLANNING BOARD
3	August 3, 2010
4	10/7/10
5	Minutes Approved 9/7/10
6 7	Mambaya nyaganti
8	Members present: Edward Almeida, Chairman
9	Leon Barry (arrived at 7:40 p.m.)
10	Carlos Fuertes
11	Frank Byron, Selectmen's Representative
12	Joel Kapelson, Alternate (arrived at 7:28 p.m.)
13	( F)
14	Members not present:
15	Jayson Brennen, Clerk
16	John Miller, Alternate
17	
18	Also present:
19	Steve Wagner, Nashua Regional Planning Commission, Sr. Planner, Circuit Rider
20	Lori Dogopoulos, Acting Administrative Assistant
21	
22	AGENDA:
23	
24	1. Continuance of Bernice and Harry Cahill, 72 Pinecrest Road, Map 13 Lot 26
25	Applicant to subdivide one lot into two lots.
26 27	2 Continuous T Mobile Northeast LLC Site Plan Deview and approval to install
28	2. Continuance T-Mobile Northeast, LLC Site Plan Review and approval to install, operate and maintain a wireless communications facility (WCF) on a 140'
29	monopole tower at a site owned by Tabernacle Baptist Church Map 2 Lot 29, 240
30	Derry Road, Litchfield, NH in the highway commercial zone.
31	Derry Roud, Estemicia, 1411 in the highway commercial zone.
32	Any Other Business:
33	, and a second s
34	Correspondence:
35	- Application: Planning Board member
36	
37	Chairman Edward Almeida called the meeting to order at 7:28 p.m. Mr. Joel Kapelson
38	was appointed as a voting member.
39	
40	1. Continuance of Bernice & Harry Cahill
41	
42	Mr. Michael Grainger, M.J. Engineering, LLS, representing the applicant came forward.
43	Mr. Larry Cahill was present. The Board is in receipt of a letter from L. C. Engineering

August 3, 2010

dated July 21, 2010. Mr. Grainger said he added the notes on the plan as recommended by Lou Caron (L.C. Engineering): Put roof drains in (infiltrators); the driveway for the new lot will be at a grade to continue the drainage going across the driveway down to Pinecrest northwest section; and underground utilities. The other change made is to reset bound on corner of northwest portion of the lot and put the waivers on the plan.

**Waiver -** Section 530 k & m: Drainage and erosion control. Mr. Wagner said that there is a Fire Department letter pending and he spoke with the Fire Chief who indicated as long as the house is 500 feet to the hydrant, he had no problem and he supports the waivers. Mr. Byron **MOTIONED** that the Litchfield Planning Board grants the applicant, Map 13 Lot 26, Cahill Subdivision, the waiver to Section 530 k & m. Mr. Kapelson seconded. Motion carried 4-0-0.

**Waiver -** Section 415: Irregular shaped lot. The regulation states that the R-value is supposed to be .4 and R-value for this lot is .389. Mr. Wagner: The purpose (irregular shaped lot requirement) was to prevent somebody from creating an irregular lot just to meet the minimum requirements. I think on this lot you have extenuating circumstances in that they had to locate the driveway on the opposite side of the lot and their main frontage was taken to avoid the potential vernal pool.

Chairman Almeida said it is in the spirit of the ordinance and he had no problem with it...it is not a situation where they are trying to create an irregular lot. Chairman opened the meeting to public comment. There was no public comment. There were no abutters present. Chairman Almeida **MOTIONED** to grant the waiver to Subdivision Regulation Section 415 for Cahill Subdivision Case 1007 LIT SD M13-L26. Mr. Byron seconded. Motion carried 4-0-0.

At 7:40 p.m. Leon Barry, member, arrived.

**Appendix J Driveway Permit -** The driveway permit application was sent to Kevin Lynch, Code Enforcement Officer, who said he could not act on it until the subdivision is approved.

Chairman Almeida opened the meeting to public comment. There was no public comment.

Mr. Wagner noted that as a condition of approval add on the plan Note 12 that the northwest corner bound is to be set in addition to the other bounds. Another condition is the driveway permit Appendix J. Also, a letter from the Fire Department.

Chairman Almeida **MOTIONED** to approve the Cahill Subdivision Case 1007 with the following conditions:

1. Mylar. 2. Plan copies with professional seals and signatures. 3. Electronic submission

August 3, 2010

per regulations (as-builts as required). 4. All fees paid and escrow maintained as required.
5. Bound to be set on the northwest corner of the property (and so noted on the Plan Note
12) 6. Driveway to be built in accordance with Appendix J. 7. Final letter of approval to
be received from the Fire Department (house meets the 500 feet from a hydrant). Mr.
Kapelson seconded. Motion carried 5-0-0.

#### 2. Continuance of T-Mobile Northeast LLC Site Plan

7:50 p.m. Present: Attorney Jennifer Lewis (Prince Lobel), Andrey Tsikanovsky (Hudson Design Group), Dinesh Dasani (RF Engineer T-Mobile) and abutters Mr. & Mrs. Susan and Kevin Powers. Ms. Lewis questioned whether or not Mr. Kapelson was able to vote on the matter. It was pointed out that he is an alternate, he is appointed to vote this evening and that he is up-to-date on the case.

**Subdivision approval:** Mr. Tsikanovsky told the Board that they modified the layout of the road specifically the turnaround and that they designed it per the Fire Chief's input and Mr. Wagner's input. Also noted there would be a sign at the entrance to the compound stating No Parking Emergency Exit Do Not Block. The access road would be 12-foot wide to make sure it is in compliance with Appendix J requirements. They feel they are now in compliance.

- **Lease -** Ms. Lewis said that T-Mobile would maintain the gravel part per the lease agreement with Tabernacle Church and the Church would maintain their parking lot from the street to the access road. Mr. Almeida: So, if this road deteriorates over time, T-Mobile has to bring it up to spec?
- Ms. Lewis: That is correct.
- 27 Mr. Barry: How often does T-Mobile review that road?
- 28 Ms. Lewis: They perform maintenance visits at least once a month...

It was said that snow plowing is done as needed and there would be no gate at the entrance. A letter from the Fire Chief is forthcoming and he approves the plan as submitted and verbally agreed to it. As far as the safety response plan, the Fire Chief needs to get an address for 911 and figure out the procedures because there may not be a landline on the site. Chief Schofield approved the plan and conditionally approved the response plan and as a condition of approval would be these items in place prior to a building permit. The Board reviewed the emails from the Fire Chief.

Ms. Lewis said that the safety plan would be posted at the site by T-Mobile. She provided a statement as to collocating Town equipment at the compound and a letter from T-Mobile is forthcoming. Ms. Lewis stated that T-Mobile said they would allow access to the compound to put ground equipment: the collocation and equipment installation would be at no cost to the Town.

# August 3, 2010

- 1 Mr. Byron asked about an email regarding a cap on the tower. Ms. Lewis said that they
- 2 are not proposing an extension to the tower and urges the Board to go without the cap
- 3 type antenna. The concern is if there is a cap, the tower would be even higher than 140
- 4 feet. One condition of approval is a maximum height not to exceed 140 feet.
- 5 Mr. Almeida is still concerned with the clearing of the road with the church not plowing
- 6 their parking lot at times. This was discussed as to making sure the lot is plowed. Ms.
- 7 Lewis explained that it is not T-Mobile's property so they cannot plow the parking lot. "If
- 8 we are out there and the church wants us to do it, we would." She further explained that
- 9 T-Mobile is leasing the land for the tower. Mr. Barry suggested writing it into the
- agreement that this is what the Board is looking for that the parking area is maintained
- and plowed. Ms. Lewis replied that the lease with the Church has been executed. Ms.
- Lewis: Reason dictates that if we need to access the tower in the back and it is not
- plowed, we are going to plow it to get to the tower. It is not our property so we could
- tentatively be trespassing on the church's driveway.

15 16

17

18

It was suggested asking the Church to provide some assurance, and that it could be a condition of approval. It is made clear that Tabernacle has an obligation to keep their portion of the driveway clear...and if there isn't a safe access for emergency vehicles to the site, the matter would have to be revisited.

19 20 21

Mr. Wagner: We just need an agreement between the Town and T-Mobile. I do not think we do not need specifics.

222324

25

26

27

It was said that the lease agreement for the Town is being dealt with by the Selectmen, and the police and fire chiefs and that it is irrelevant for site plan approval. The Town's antennas would be located on the outside the tower. A copy of the specification from the Town was provided by Ms. Lewis for the record. Ms. Lewis also stated that the Town would have access to the compound.

28 29 30

Mr. Wagner: The access to Town equipment would probably be the same for an emergency - a Knox box something with multiple locks. Mr. Tsikanovsky: Typically a combination lock is used at the main gate or a Knox box with key.

32 33 34

31

Chairman Almeida opened the meeting to public comment.

35

- Mrs. Susan Powers, 10 Cutler Road: As you all know, I am against this cell tower.
- 37 I am disheartened to learn that Town Counsel has been in negotiations to lease to put
- 38 whatever they have to do with this tower prior to being put in. I think that is jumping the
- 39 gun so to speak. It also bothers me that through all this, all we have heard is it will be
- 40 totally contained. It will be a contained pole, it will be a monopole and now our Town is
- going to be the first to put an outside antenna on it...I think these are great concerns. It just keeps changing all the time...

#### August 3, 2010

- 1 Mr. Byron: In response to your comment there has been no discussion with Town
- 2 Counsel with the applicant or anybody on this. The discussion you were hearing was that
- 3 I believe the Chairman was suggesting that there needs to be a review by Town Counsel
- 4 at some point in the future and that was the lease between the Tabernacle Church and T-
- 5 Mobile in terms of maintenance and access road, and stuff like that. There is no
- discussion that was going on between Town Counsel and anybody in terms of leasing for space on the tower.

8

Mrs. Powers: So, how did the plans for outside antennas come into T-Mobile's possession for our Town?

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Mr. Byron: The request came through from the Fire Chief and Police Chief in getting an antenna placed on to that for emergency purposes. The reason being is the police department is having difficulty on Route 102 being able to communicate with the cruisers. So, at that point, there was an individual named Mr. Man...he requested from the Town the specifications for the equipment and at that point the Fire Chief and Police Chief and a consultant for the Town that handles their emergency equipment met and provided to them, Mr. Man, the specifications for the antennas that would work for the Town. After that as far as today I received a message from Mr. Man stating that the Town would need to provide that equipment and he would submit an application to T-Mobile to

see if T-Mobile would grant access to the tower. There is no firm commitment from T-

22 23

Ms. Lewis: They have to go through the application process...

Mobile that they will give us that as far as I am aware.

242526

27

28

29

Mr. Wagner noted that it is also reflected in the Town's Mitigation Plan and the fact that there is a dead zone in that portion of Town and they were looking to put in an antenna somewhere to increase their signal. Mr. Byron noted that it is also reflected in the zoning ordinance that the consideration would be made for installation of emergency communications.

30 31 32

33

Talk ensued. Telecommunications Ordinance 165.01 f states that applicant agrees for maximum shared use of the facility with other telecommunications providers and with governmental agencies at industry standard lease rates.

343536

Mrs. Powers asked if the antenna would be on the outside of the tower. Mr. Dasani: The type of antenna they utilize needs to be on the outside.

- 39 Mr. Kevin Powers, 10 Cutler Road, talked about snow removal of the parking lot
- 40 "coming from a snowplow driver". Ms. Lewis pointed out that in the lease with
- Tabernacle it states the landlord shall maintain and repair all access roadways from the
- 42 nearest public roadway to the property in a manner sufficient to allow vehicular and
- 43 pedestrian access at all times. So, if they do not do it, they are in default under the lease.
- T-Mobile would have the ability to terminate the lease.

August 3, 2010

1 2

There would be a removal bond posted in case the Town has to take the tower down.

Ms. Lewis: All I can suggest, and I do not mean to be speaking for T-Mobile because I do

not know if they are going to agree but in the lease negotiations, you may want a provision in there saying that the Town we will have access to the site in the event of an emergency so that would put a burden on T-Mobile to make sure that the Town accesses the site. T-Mobile is not obligated to go plow the Church's driveway...the landlord is clearly obligated to plow the driveway. We have a resource to enforce that provision whether it is through termination; they would be in default with the lease. In terms of what the Town can do to enforce it, I do not know...force the Church to have a contract in place with a snow removal company prior issuing any permits.

Mr. Wagner suggested informing Town Counsel as to the Church's history of not maintaining their parking area. Ms. Lewis: The Church has an incentive to keep this lease in place; not only are they going to be receiving rent from T-Mobile under Section 4d they would receive additional fees for any collocation - that means any other carriers on the tower...surely they would not want to jeopardize this lease.

**Bond -** Ms. Lewis said they would post an amount whatever the Town requires but typically it is about \$25,000. Mr. Tsikanovsky said they could provide an estimate of the removal cost which is anywhere from \$5,000 to \$25,000 depending on installation, location, etc. The bond would include removing the access road, the tower, the foundation, everything. The bond language would have to be reviewed by Town Counsel. Mr. Wagner went over the regulations for bonding. In his experience, he would like to see something in writing should T-Mobile sell to someone else that there would have to have a bond in place.

Ms. Lewis: The approval as a condition would apply to whoever takes over the tower. Mr. Wagner: We would have to make sure that the Town is notified of a change of ownership. Mr. Tsikanovsky: We could make a general statement that the tower's owner is to maintain a removal bond in the amount agreed upon with the Town.

A condition that the applicant would post a removal bond in amount sufficient to remove and restore site to existing condition. An engineer would come up with the amount and Town Counsel would approve the language terms. Talk ensued. It was said that T-Mobile could hire Andrey to provide an affidavit as certified by an engineer as to the cost to remove the tower.

Ms. Lewis said that they are looking for an approval this evening.

Conditions were reviewed. Applicant to post and maintain a bond sufficient amount for removal and restoration of the site; terms and conditions acceptable by Town Counsel.

**August 3, 2010** 

1 Ms. Lewis said that they would provide a construction affidavit at building permit application.

3

5

- Talk went on as to painting the tower. It was pointed out that a galvanized pole is the best way to go due to little maintenance involved.
- Mr. Wagner suggested adding a note that Tabernacle keeps the current tree line and that the existing natural buffer be maintained within best management practices for removing diseased trees. It would be enforced by the Code Enforcement Officer. This would maintain a visual barrier to abutters except for clearing of dead trees and any additional clearing would be approved by the Planning Board.

11 12

13

14

15

Talk went on as to the installation of antennas for Town equipment. Mr. Byron suggested that the external antenna(s) does not go above the tower and proposes a stipulation that it not go above 100 feet. It was suggested the plan states that external antennas must be mounted on the steel portion of the tower not to exceed 100 feet and no antenna to extend beyond the 140 feet.

16 17 18

Mr. Barry expressed concern that there could be 10 antennas and suggested limiting the amount of antennas.

19 20 21

22

23

24

Ms. Lewis: Any commercial carrier has to go through the process. In terms of restricting, you are restricting it for the Town not for other carriers. Commercial carriers need to mount internally and they need to go through the process. We can't mount externally but it appears the Town it appears can. So, you are limiting the Town's ability to mount external.

252627

28

29

30

It was suggested limiting the Town antennas to two mounted. Ms. Lewis said she would presume that the lease agreement with the Town would most likely call out the number of antennas to be mounted by the Town and any additional antennas would have to go through the process. Ms. Lewis: My understanding is T-Mobile is amenable to leasing space to the Town at no cost and allow the Town to go into the compound at no cost.

31 32

- It was then suggested making it restricted to one antenna for fire and one for police.
  Condition would be the maximum tower height to be 140 feet; external antennas and
- equipment not to exceed 100 feet. Any antenna above 100 feet to be internal and the total
- number of external antennas to be two one for police department and one for fire
- department. Ms. Lewis said that the only external antenna that they would allow would
- be government. They do not want external antennas but would allow it for the Town.
- 39 Also, should the Town use antennas that comply with their requirements for internal
- 40 mounting, it is possible they could do so but that would take one of the collocation spots 41 and T-Mobile would have to make a decision and there may be a cost.

42 43

44

Mr. Wagner mentioned that the tower shall be inspected quarterly and a written report sent to the Code Enforcement Officer. Two missed inspections shall constitute an

**August 3, 2010** 

abandoned tower. Also, the bond reviewed annually for inflation adjustments. It was suggested to just say applicant shall abide with conditions that the bond is in compliance with the Town regulations and ordinances.

4 5

It was suggested having Tabernacle sign the plans. Mr. Wagner wants to make sure Tabernacle knows they have an obligation. Applicant would include the wording of the conditions on the construction drawings and the Church would sign off on the construction plans. The following conditions to be incorporated in the construction documents and applicant required to get the signatures, notifying landlord: In order to maintain a visual buffer to the abutters existing tree line to be maintained except for clearing of dead trees. Additional clearing to be approved by the Planning Board. The maximum tower height not to exceed 140 feet; external antennas and equipment not to exceed 100 feet. Any antenna above 100 feet is to be internal and the total number of external antennas to be two - one for fire one for police.

It was noted that any changes to the site plan, they would have to come back to the Planning Board. The Board discussed a time limit to complete the conditions. It was agreed conditions to be met within 60 days of conditional approval and applicant to report back to the Planning Board every 30 days.

Early in the application process, a question was raised as to whether or not to perform test pits prior to approval. Mr. Tsikanovsky said that they would provide borings and design a foundation, which would be incorporated into the Town design, and be available to the Building Inspector as well. Ms. Lewis told the Board that they could provide construction drawings in the 60-day timeframe. Mr. Wagner pointed out that Lou Caron did not have a problem with test pits being done after the approval because the soils are fine.

**Approval -** Chairman Almeida **MOTIONED** that the Planning Board approves the application for Tabernacle T-Mobile Tower, Case 1005 LIT M L29, Map 2 Lot 29, 240 Derry Road, Litchfield, NH, with the following conditions:

- 1. Plan copies with professional seals and signatures.
- 2. Original Mylar with professional seals and signatures.
- 35 3. Electronic submission per regulations (As-builts as required).
- 36 4. Bond estimate.
- 37 5. All fees paid, and escrow maintained as required.
- 38 6. Changes to Plat as detailed in minutes and the report.
- 7. Final approval letter from the Fire Chief.
- 40 8. Maximum tower height to be 140 feet; any additional equipment or antenna not to
- 41 exceed this height. External antennas and equipment not to exceed 100 feet and any
- 42 antenna above 100 feet to be internal. Total number of external antennas to be two one
- 43 for fire and one for police.

# August 3, 2010

- 9. Applicant to post and maintain a bond in sufficient amount for removal and restoration of the site. Terms and conditions acceptable by Town Counsel. Applicant shall provide a cost estimate certified by a licensed professional engineer. Applicant shall abide by the conditions that the bond will be in compliance with the Town regulations and ordinances. 10. Town shall have 24 hours a day 7 days a week emergency access to the site.
  - 11. Emergency response plan to be in place prior to issuance of building permit.

12. In order to maintain visual buffer to abutters, existing tree line to be maintained except for clearing of dead trees. Additional clearing to be approved by the Planning Board. Mr. Leon Barry seconded. Motion carried 4-0-1. F. Byron abstains.

#### ANY OTHER BUSINESS

**New Applicant -** The Board is in receipt of an application from Barry Bean to serve on the Planning Board. Mr. Byron **MOTIONED** to bring in the applicant Barry Bean at the August 17, 2010, meeting. Mr. Almeida seconded. Motion carried 5-0-0.

Nashua Regional Planning - Council meeting: Mr. Barry reported on the meeting he attended on July 22, 2010. They spoke about what they plan to accomplish next year and what they accomplished this year. The things accomplished - a lot of input on the Broad Street Parkway that is on schedule to do something. The Hudson Connector - looking at roundabouts and also considering doing the Exit 36 south from Nashua involving a loop to go to the mall.

There being no further business, Mr. Barry **MOTIONED** to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Almeida seconded. Motion carried 5-0-0. The meeting adjourned at 10:35 p.m.

Edward Almeida, Chairman Leon Barry Carlos Fuertes Frank Byron, Selectmen 

Lorraine Dogopoulos, Recording Secretary

Joel Kapelson

August 3, 2010